UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/558,727	11/28/2005 Hiroyuki Kanda		20241/0203623-US0	7063
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 06/30/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	- 4-40	SPEER, TIMOTHY M		
Church Street S New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/558,727	KANDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TIMOTHY M. SPEER	1794	

	TIMOTHY M. SPEER	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any expreed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	llanda with 27 OFD 44 27 months		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		rimaly filed amondmor	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiffilied iii a separate, i	inlely filed afficilatile	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			
	Timothy M. Creek		
	/Timothy M. Speer/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1794	
	,		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While the proposed amendment overcomes the rejection over Mukherjee, it does not overcome Suzuki. In response to Suzuki, applicant argues that the references teaches away from thicknesses of less than 10 nm. While Suzuki inidcates that at some lower limit the films might not be discontinuous, Suzuki does not set this value at 10 nm, contrary to applicant's assertion. The Examiner does not agree that Suzuki teaches away from the presently claimed films having a thickness of 9 nm..